

## Consulting Parties,

Thank you to those that called in to today's Section 106 consulting party call. Participants include the Corps (Lance, Andrew), DAHP (Allyson, Holly, Nick, Michael), ACHP (Jaime) Federal Way Campus (Dana, Jen, Michelle, Courtney, Michael), Puyallup Tribe (Jennifer, Angela), The Cultural Landscape Foundation (Charles), Docomomo Wewa (Tyler), King County Historic Preservation (Todd, Jennifer), National Trust (Betsy), PWP (Adam, Emelia), Save Weyerhaeuser Campus (Jean, Lori, Cindy, Cassie, Deborah), Soco Culture (Barbara), SOM (Carrie), SWA Group (Rene), and the Washington Trust (Jay).

After introductions I went through submittals received since last call:

1. Save Weyerhaeuser Campus provided the attached Trails Analysis.
2. DAHP requested we start negotiation on mitigation (attached).
3. Federal Way Campus provided the attached Minimization and Mitigation plan and a viewshed rendering. They also emailed that the proposed property sale with Forterra discussed last call is not for sale.
4. The Corps continues to receive letters supporting the eligibility considerations and design recommendations that were shared with the group by PWP/SOM/SWA. See <https://tclf.org/feature-type/weyerhaeuser-letters>

We spent the call discussing FWC's proposal for "big picture" mitigation (see attached). Dana stepped through the various components of the proposal. The "big picture" involves creation of deed restrictions/covenants that would restrict future use of the property to include preserving from future development the open field to the south of the HQ building, the field/pond to the north of the HQ building, the facade of the HQ building, and buffers to minimize viewshed impacts from the proposed development. This mitigation is the package they envision for both this permit action and the permit action/development in the north (but individual parts could be broken out for each permit action). It would protect the "core" of the HQ building and viewshed at the expense of the periphery. We spent the rest of the call going over specific details:

**Trails:** FWC clarified that they would follow the agreement they have with Federal Way, which is consistent with the agreement Weyerhaeuser had with the City. Based on tenet/safety needs they may enforce restrictions on access. Some of the trail system would be lost by development.

**Puyallup Tribe:** The tribe is adamantly opposed to this project and has concerns about amount of environmental disturbance. Any Hylebos Watershed impacts are very concerning; they spend a lot of effort on restoration.

**Action Item:** FWC said tribal concerns are important to them and they will reach out to tribe to discuss the project and understand their concerns.

**Viewshed:** We discussed viewshed. The visual renderings (attached) are for Buildings A & B. Rene and Charles would like a better understanding of the view angles and said the pictures may not represent the built view (i.e., people driving in may be able to see the building or the detainment pond). Dana said FWC has completed many viewshed studies and could make them available. Rene would like more specificity and details.

Action Item: FWC will make available other viewshed documents to the Section 106 consulting parties.

We discussed the "big picture" mitigation but there are a lot of specifics that would need to be considered moving forward, principle among them: 1) How will we make sure the screening plantings will function as intended? 2) What will the campus look like after development (i.e., where will you be able to see the new development, and how can it be minimized?) and 3) language/deed restrictions on the deed restrictions/covenants. Allyson suggested drafting the language into a draft MOA that would start to provide specificity and accountability to these general ideas.

Our next call is Friday February 26, 2021 at noon-1:30 PM PST. Please let me know if you have any questions, corrections, or concerns,

Lance